### **OPEN MINUTES**

Missouri Board of Therapeutic Massage September 24, 2001 – 2:00 p.m. Telephone Conference Call Division of Professional registration 3605 Missouri Boulevard – Jefferson City, Missouri

The Missouri Board of Therapeutic Massage was called to order by Board Chairperson Elizabeth Miller at 2:05 p.m. at the Division of Professional Registration, 3605 Missouri Boulevard, Jefferson City, Missouri.

## **Board Members present**

Elizabeth Miller, Chairperson (Exited the call at 4:07 p.m. and Mr. Wesley chaired the remainder of the conference call meeting.)

Derek Alvarez

Bernard Wesley, Vice Chairperson (joined the call at 2:07 p.m.)

Kevin Snedden

Dr. Gretchen Lockett, Public Member (Joined the call at 3:45 p.m. and exited 3:49 p.m. Dr. Lockett informed the Board her cellular telephone was not receiving the signal very.)

Christi Warner

Sid Brantley

Juliet Mee, Non-voting member

### **Staff Present**

Loree Kessler, Executive Director Jeanette Stuenkel, Executive I Chad Sooter, Licensure Technician Daryl Hylton, Assistant Attorney General (Joined the call at 2:25 p.m. and exited the call at 3:09 p.m.)

Ms. Miller indicated she would be voting in open and closed session.

A motion was made by Mr. Snedden and seconded by Ms. Warner to approve the open session agenda. Motion carried unanimously. The review of the licensure laws of Ohio, Maine and Utah is moved to open session. The applicant information remained in closed session.

### Virginia Licensure Requirements

The board determined that Virginia's licensure law was not equivalent to Missouri's because the 500-hour massage therapy program composition is not defined in the law or regulations. The board stated that applicants from Virginia would need to meet Missouri's requirements in the area of education by providing a transcript of the program for the Board to review.

# **Board President's Meeting September 21, 2001**

Ms. Miller reported that she attended the board president's meeting along with the executive director. All boards comprising the division were represented at the meeting. Ms. Miller advised the board that areas of legislation regarding in-house counsel, civil penalties, and subpoena power would be introduced on a board by board basis and not by the division.

Additionally the board chairpersons voted to recommend implementation of the revised cost allocation plan developed by a division wide team. Ms. Miller stated that the Board would be discussing cost allocation and the financial situation of the Board at the November face-to-face meeting. The board took no official action.

# Whole Foods Market Business License Correspondence

The board reviewed correspondence concerning business licensure for a grocery market specializing in organic foods. The store wishes to provide chair massage as a service to its customer.

The Board instructed the executive director to inform the company that a business license was required and that the business would have to meet the licensure requirements such as sanitary environment, linen storage, and client information/records. It was recommended the company be provided a copy of the letter and inspection form sent to massage therapy businesses previously.

## Cosmetology Board Meeting September 10, 2001

The Board reviewed the summary memorandum provided by the executive director. A memorandum from the Attorneys General for the two respective licensure boards will be requested.

#### Meeting Schedule

The board scheduled a conference call for 2-4 p.m. on October 29<sup>th</sup> and a face-to-face meting November 28, 2001. The face to face meeting will be held in Jefferson City.

### **Review of State Licensure Requirements**

**Ohio** – The Board determined the licensure requirements were not substantially equivalent to Missouri because the law and regulations did not require specific hours in core areas of study for massage therapy and the national examination was not required.

**Maine** – The Board determined the licensure requirements were not substantially equivalent to Missouri because the law and regulation did not require specify hours in core areas of study other than a 120-hour minimum in anatomy and physiology and 100 hours of supervised hands-on practice. No program hours were required for theory & practice, ancillary therapies and business practices.

**Utah** - The Board determined the licensure requirements were substantially equivalent to Missouri.

At 2:37 p.m., a motion was made by Mr. Brantley and seconded by Mr. Alvarez to convene in closed session pursuant to motion to close 610.021 subsection (14) subsection (7) RSMo for the purpose of discussing applicants for licensure and/or discussing investigative reports and/or complaints and/or audits and/or other information pertaining to the licensee or applicant, section 610.021 subsection (7) RSMo for the purpose of discussing and/or reviewing testing and examination materials, section 610.021 subsection (1) RSMo for the purpose of discussing general legal actions, causes of action or litigation, and any confidential or privileged communication between this agency and its attorney and section 610.021 subsection (14) and for the purpose of reviewing and approving closed meting minutes of one or more previous meetings under the subsection 610.021 which authorize this agency to go into closed session during those meetings. Board members voting aye; Ms. Warner, Mr. Snedden, Mr. Brantley, Mr. Alvarez, Mr. Wesley and Ms. Miller. Motion carried unanimously.

At 4:20 p.m., a motion was made by Mr. Brantley and seconded by Mr. Snedden to convene in open session. Board members voting aye; Mr. Brantley Mr. Snedden, Ms. Warner, Mr. Wesley, and Mr. Alvarez. Motion carried unanimously.

\_\_\_\_\_

**Executive Director** 

Approved by Board on October 29, 2001